## HNS COLLEGE ASSEMBLY

August 28, 2008

## Minutes

## I. Call to Order

The meeting of the College Assembly was called to order by Interim Dean Mary McCay at 12:30 p.m. on Thursday, August 28, 2008 in Room 332 of Bobet Hall.

Attended: B. Anderson, R. Anderson, Bell, Bernardi, Biguenet, Brazier, Brungardt, Butler, Cahill, Calzada, Clark, Cook, Coolidge, Cotton, Dewell, Dittrich, Doll, Dorn, Ewell, Farge, Fernandez, Gerlich, Gnuse, Goforth, Gossiaux, Hood, Hrebik, Hymel, Jordan, Kargol, Kelly, Koplitz, Kornovich, Lagvanec, Lewis, Li, Mabe, Matthews, McKay, Moazami, Moore, Mui, Nicoll, Nielsen, Randall, Rodriguez, Rosenbecker, Ross, Russell, Sebastian, Saxton, Smith, Spence, Tucci, Underwood, Walkenhorst, Willems, Yakich, Zucker, R. Wilson (non-voting), and Interim Associate Dean Hunt (non-voting).

## II. Invocation

Rev. Leo Nicoll, S.J. led the invocation.

## III. Approval of Minutes

The minutes of April 17, 2008 were approved by acclamation.

## IV. Provost/VP for Academic Affairs

Interim Dean McCay introduced Provost Edward Kvet. He observed that the approaching Hurricane Gustav caused him to revise his intended welcoming remarks to include a send-off, with alerts via text messages and updates on Loyola's website and e-mails. He said the first evacuation since Katrina might err on the conservative side. He urged faculty to take materials with them to conduct instruction as feasible via Blackboard, during what he had learned in legalese is termed a "suspension of on-campus operations." He acknowledged that certain types of instruction couldn't be conducted on Blackboard and suggested that faculty at least make on-line contact with their classes. In response to questions from faculty, he said that campus buildings' main locks would be disabled on Saturday at 9:00 a.m., that cars with permits may be parked in the Freret garage, and any decision regarding make-up days (e.g, using the semester break as a buffer) would be announced after oncampus operations resumed. He said that although timing doesn't always afford participation of deans and chairs, Bob Gerlich is in Provost Council meetings conveying information as they make decisions. He briefly welcomed faculty, wished them safe travels, and promised to come again when he could talk with substance.

## V. Announcements

1) President's Open House and Parents' Shadow Day is Friday, October 3.
2) Terell Fisher, Assistant Vice President of Marketing, will visit at a future date.
3) HNS Welcome Party to Greet New Faculty is cancelled due to Hurricane Gustav.
4) The Gregory R. Choppin Chemistry Wing’s opening ceremony is September 18 at 12:30 p.m.
5) Animals and organic materials in labs should be taken care of today ahead of the suspension of on-campus operations.

## VI. Reports

1) College Handbook Committee (Craig Hood)

Craig said that the document in the previous minutes remained valid; the committee had intended to have the updates for the usual September date of the first College Assembly. He asked that faculty see the two versions of the handbook on Blackboard: in Word (to make changes) and PDF (to review changes). He said to expect a reminder to make comments one week ahead of the next assembly. Craig said the handbook would be presented in hard copy and maintained in an electronic version for official changes, with dates included. MOTION: Katherine Adams moved that the HNS College Assembly vote to approve the college handbook. The motion was seconded by Melanie McKay and voice vote was unanimous. Vote on the motion will be at the next assembly.
2) SORC (Lynn Koplitz)

Dean McCay first relayed a comment from Provost Kvet that he intends to review all faculty salaries as a whole, not piecemeal; she said that SORC's refined data would include recent CUPA updates. Lynn presented slides (attached) of salary comparisons with CUPA data, quartiles from George Capowich as authorized by Provost Kvet, projected and expected quartiles for comparisons, compression and equity increases, and other tables and charts. Discussion included: mentions of methods and reference groups used by the former Provost, consultants' reports, merit and cost-of-living components, importance of presenting pertinent data, economic pressures on tuitions and endowments, decreased number of faculty since Katrina, some CSS starting salaries lower than HNS, appropriate/inappropriate comparison groups, need for a system to avoid compression, academic priorities should drive budget, expansion of other branches of the University and costly renovations at expense of faculty, handbook to include compression considerations, perceptions of worth, "bump-ups" to new faculty members' starting salaries position them higher than faculty already here carrying more responsibilities, and need for retention considerations in addition to attraction ones.

## VII. Old Business

1) External reviews letters / fourth year review

Melanie McKay distributed copies of the proposed document "HUNS Materials for Yearly Review and for Tenure and Promotion Packets" (attached), as prepared with John Sebastian. She noted that the draft incorporated changes previously voted upon in the assembly. She said that the point of confusion regarding letters from external reviewers was resolved in the document. MOTION: Kate Adams moved that the document be accepted. The motion was seconded and voice vote was unanimous. Vote on the motion will be at the next assembly.
2) Plus and Minus Grade

Dean McCay said that she has sent the proposal forward.
3) Parliamentarian

Marcus Smith offered summary sheets with excerpts from Robert's Rules of Order (attached). He suggested that the assembly decide which rule of order to adopt and identify a parliamentarian among the younger faculty. Dean McCay called for a volunteer. No one came forward. Marcus distributed a summary sheet with excerpts from Robert's Rules of Order (attached).

## VIII. New Business -- None

IX. Adjournment -- The meeting was adjourned at 1:30 P.M.

Attachments: Presentation materials

## DRAFT: HUNS Materials for Yearly Review and for Tenure and Promotion Packets.

Each year departments will send recommendations to the dean for retention or non-retention of untenured ordinary faculty. These recommendations will be based on the department's evaluation of your teaching, scholarship, and service. You should provide your department each year with a portfolio that describes your work in each of these areas. The suggestions below will help you prepare for annual reviews and, ultimately, for your tenure application. They incorporate the requirements for tenure and promotion documentation specified by the College Rank and Tenure Committee (CRTC). They include additional suggestions to help you in building your tenure file as you move through the pre-tenure years.

Year One: Faculty member should be advised to begin a portfolio. Suggested contents:

1. Listing of courses taught, with numbers and course titles. Syllabi and examples of assignments strongly recommended.
2. Listing of courses created, if any, with numbers, course titles, course descriptions and syllabi.
3. A statement about creative teaching methods, online courses, linked courses, first-year seminar courses, etc. with examples of creative assignments.
4. All student evaluations.
5. Letters from faculty visiting and evaluating classes. In some departments, chairs or mentors will help you arrange these visits. One peer evaluation per semester is strongly recommended.
6. Any collaborative research with students and/or other faculty members.
7. List of publications and conference presentations for review by the department (the faculty member should be saving all publications and any letters from reviewers who have commented on them).
8. Any grants applied for. List those funded and not funded.
9. Department, university, and/or community service. This includes committee work.

Year Two: Second year faculty members must be reviewed twice: once in early November to determine a third year contract, and once in February for a fourth year contract. The portfolio should contain all off the above documents, plus:

1. Listing of all courses taught in the second year, with syllabi . First review will look at first semester of second year syllabi as well as all first year syllabi.
2. Advising Statement (if applicable). List programs advised for, number of advisees.

Year Three: Third year faculty should be well on their way to having a packet that is almost ready for the pre-tenure review in the fourth year. It should contain all of the above.
See college guidelines at
http://www.chn.loyno.edu/intranet/documents/GuidelinesTenure.Promotion.pdf
Provost's guidelines at
http://www.loyno.edu/provost/documents/MaterialsforTenurePromotionetc.pdf

Year Four: Updated packet from year three. This is the Pre-tenure review year. Although letters from external reviewers are required for the full tenure application, they are NOT required for the fourth year review. The department should review the packet carefully to make sure nothing is missing.

Year Five: All of the documents from Year Four, updated. During the fifth year, the faculty member and other members of the department should provide the department chair with lists of external reviewers qualified to evaluate the applicant's scholarship. For the purposes of the College Rank and Tenure Committee, "external" will be defined as experts in the applicant's field of study outside Loyola. In the interests of impartiality, reviewers should be required to identify any relationship they may have with the applicant. The department chair will be responsible for obtaining no less than three but no more than six letters from external reviewers by fall of the candidate's sixth year.

Year Six: Tenure Year. The tenure packet should include all of the above information as well as copies of books and other publications. The department chair will forward the external review letters to the CRTC and will write a clear and unambiguous letter to the CRTC concerning the faculty member's past work and potential for future work and contribution to the university.

Revised wording for Year Six:
Year Six or Early Promotion: Tenure Year. The tenure packet should include all of the above information as well as copies of books and other publications. The department chair will forward the external review letters to the CRTC and will write a clear and unambiguous letter to the CRTC concerning the faculty member's past work and potential for future work and contribution to the university. Applicants for early promotion must provide external reviews as stated in the document.

## An Outline of the Process Used for Identifying Salary Inequity

Individual salaries are compared to appropriate ranges by quartiles in a CUPA database for the Loyola University New Orleans General Reference Group by rank and discipline. If a salary falls below the range of salaries in the expected quartile for that person's rank and discipline, then that individual is considered for an equity increase based on past evaluations of performance.

Expected quartile for an individual's salary is determined by years in rank. The entire range of years in rank is assumed to be 6 for assistant professors, 12 for associate professors, and 12 for professors for an overall 30 year career. (In earlier analyses, 16 years was the range used for the associate rank but 12 was later shown to be more usual for actual time in that rank.) [SORC, at its meeting on $8 / 25 / 08$, decided to use 6,12 , and 16 as appropriate ranges based on the actual distribution of years in rank with averages of about 10 and 12 for associate professors and professors respectively.] So, for example, a person who has been at the rank of assistant professor for 5 years would be expected to be in the top, or fourth, quartile out of four while a person who was just hired should be in the bottom, or first, quartile. In the case of assistant professors, salaries also need to be compared to the CUPA data for New Assistant Professors that year because market forces can have a particularly strong effect on determining incoming salaries, especially in certain disciplines, and often cause them to leapfrog over those of assistant professors who have been in rank for $1-5$ years.

For an example of how this process has been applied, please look at the Excel file "Copy of Salary Study 2002-03 6-12-03."

For an example of the CUPA data file used to determine quartiles by using the Loyola University New Orleans General Reference Group please see the Excel file "CUPA Fac 02-03." The first quartile range for a given rank and discipline goes from the minimum to the $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile, the second is from $25^{\text {th }}$ to $50^{\text {th }}$, third is $50^{\text {th }}$ to $75^{\text {th }}$ and fourth is $75^{\text {th }}$ to the maximum.

The Loyola University New Orleans General Reference Group of institutions is used by the University Budget Committee to make many comparisons for Loyola. It gives an appropriate and useful range for valid salary comparisons. It is listed in the Factbook, most recently here:

## http://loyno.edu/oir/factbook2007/documents/refgps.pdf

That reference group with some relevant data from 2000 is also attached as a pdf file, "LUNO 00newref."

In order to make a sound, objective, relevant analysis of the current salary structure in the College of Humanities and Natural Sciences, the Salary Oversight and Review Committee requests access to the CUPA data. The most useful form of access would be Data on Demand for the Loyola University New Orleans General Reference Group in order to request subsets of the group where needed.

# National Faculty Salary Survey --CUPA <br> Data for HNS SORC <br> <br> 2007-2008 (Uses Fall 2007 Data) <br> <br> 2007-2008 (Uses Fall 2007 Data) <br> <br> See Reference Group Below <br> <br> See Reference Group Below <br> Source: CUPA (copyrighted 2007) 

Key
NP - Number of Persons. NI - Number of Institutions. Statistics will not display when the Number of Institutions is less than 5.

| Program/ Rank | Loyola U. Salary <br> Average | Comparison Group Salaries (listed below) <br> (Based on Reported Average Salaries*) |  |  |  |  |  | Loyola's Avg. as Percent of Comparison Schools\% of B's |  | Comparison Schools Quartiles |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average | Median | Minimum | Maximum | NP | NI | Average | Median | 25th | 50th | 75th |
| 16.01 Linguistic, Comp \& Rel Studies \& Srvcs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 68,250 | \#\#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\#\# | 60,847 | 100,950 | 33 | 11 | 85.8 | 83.7 | 72,866 | 81,500 | 86,715 |
| Associate Professor | 65,030 | \#\#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\#\# | 50,665 | 71,738 | 57 | 13 | 108.1 | 110.5 | 56,990 | 58,856 | 62,326 |
| Assistant Professor |  | \#\#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\#\# | 39,457 | 61,585 | 43 | 12 |  |  | 46,371 | 50,230 | 51,957 |
| New Assistant Professor |  | \#\#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\#\# | 45,000 | 58,000 | 7 | 6 |  |  | 46,250 | 47,000 | 49,250 |
| Instructor |  | \#\#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\#\# | 37,335 | 46,575 | 10 | 5 |  |  | 37,613 | 38,291 | 43,490 |
| 16.12 Classics \& Classical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor |  | \#\#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\#\# | 83,554 | 118,889 | 12 | 8 |  |  | 88,705 | 92,130 | 106,790 |
| Associate Professor | 58,950 | \#\#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\#\# | 51,293 | 91,886 | 17 | 11 | 84.6 | 94.9 | 59,846 | 62,132 | 79,368 |
| Assistant Professor | 50,000 | \#\#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\#\# | 38,690 | 67,677 | 12 | 8 | 93.7 | 96.3 | 47,428 | 51,903 | 59,863 |
| New Assistant Professor | 50,000 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Instructor |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |




| 40.08 Physics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Professor 90,750 | \#\#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\#\# | 65,304 | 188,748 |  | 25 | 95.5 | 99.6 | 81,880 | 91,123 | 102,697 |
| Associate Professor 60,217 | \#\#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\#\# | 56,206 | 88,723 | 52 | 19 | 84.6 | 83.8 | 64,126 | 71,842 | 77,490 |
| Assistant Professor | \#\#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\#\# | 48,000 | 75,139 | 42 | 21 |  |  | 52,000 | 56,985 | 63,767 |
| New Assistant Professor | \#\#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\#\# | 45,000 | 70,000 | 6 | 5 |  |  | 48,250 | 54,500 | 62,000 |
| Instructor |  |  |  |  | 5 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42.01 General |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor 77,600 | \#\#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\#\# | 63,656 | 116,420 | 86 | 24 | 88.0 | 90.5 | 79,136 | 85,783 | 98,271 |
| Associate Professor 58,475 | \#\#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\#\# | 54,193 | 95,762 | 85 | 26 | 85.1 | 88.9 | 60,010 | 65,810 | 75,004 |
| Assistant Professor 51,000 | \#\#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\#\# | 47,025 | 76,129 | 61 | 23 | 89.9 | 92.3 | 48,962 | 55,244 | 62,164 |
| New Assistant Professor | \#\#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\#\# | 48,000 | 60,750 | 7 | 5 |  |  | 48,500 | 56,000 | 58,000 |
| Instructor |  |  |  |  | 7 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54.01 History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor 81,083 | \#\#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\#\# | 70,349 | 133,577 | \#\#\# | 24 | 86.7 | 87.2 | 82,418 | 92,947 | 102,151 |
| Associate Professor 61,013 | \#\#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\#\# | 50,778 | 91,681 | 96 | 27 | 90.4 | 92.7 | 58,751 | 65,828 | 74,718 |
| Assistant Professor 51,500 | \#\#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\#\# | 39,370 | 65,561 | 99 | 24 | 96.8 | 97.8 | 48,747 | 52,639 | 57,872 |
| New Assistant Professor 52,000 | \#\#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\#\# | 42,000 | 62,000 | 17 | 15 | 98.4 | 100.0 | 46,500 | 52,000 | 60,000 |
| Instructor |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Reference Group (Official) | Participated Yes, No |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bradley University (IL) | Yes |
| Butler University (IN) | Yes |
| Creighton University (NE) | Yes |
| Davidson College (NC) | Yes |
| Drake University (IA) | Yes |
| Duquesne University (PA) | No |
| Fairfield University (CT) | No |
| Gonzaga University (WA) | Yes |
| Ithaca College (NY) | Yes |
| John Carroll University (OH) | Yes |
| Loyola College (MD) | Yes |
| Loyola Marymount University (CA) | Yes |
| Oberlin College (OH) | Yes |
| Providence College (RI) | Yes |
| Rollins College (FL) | Yes |
| Samford University (AL) | Yes |
| Santa Clara University (CA) | Yes |
| Seton Hall University (NJ) | No |
| Stetson University (FL) | Yes |
| Suffolk University (MA) | No |
| Trinity University (TX) | Yes |
| Tulane University (LA) | No |
| University of Dayton (OH) | Yes |
| University of Portland (OR) | Yes |
| University of Puget Sound (WA) | No |
| University of Richmond (VA) | Yes |
| University of San Diego (CA) | Yes |
| University of Scranton (PA) | Yes |
| Valparaiso University (IN) | Yes |
| Villanova University (PA) | Yes |
| Wake Forest University (NC) | Yes |
| Washington \& Lee University (VA) | Yes |
| Xavier University (OH) | Yes |

## 08-09 HNS Assoc. Sal vs. YIR



HNS salaries 2008-09

salaries

## HNS 2008-09 salaries by rank and years of service



## 08-09 HNS salaries by rank and YIR



## 08-09 HNS Prof Sal vs. YOS



## 08-09 HNS Prof Sal vs. YIR



## 08-09 HNS Assoc. Sal vs. YOS



## 08-09 HNS Assist Profs Sal vs. YOS/YIR



## Parliamentary Motions Guide

Based on Robert's Rules of Order Newly Revised ( $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition)
The motions below are listed in order of precedence. Any motion can be introduced if it is higher on the chart than the pending motion.


Jim Slaughter, Certified Professional Parliamentarian-Teacher \& Professional Registered Parliamentarian 336/378-1899(W) 336/378-1850(F) P.O. Box 41027, Greensboro 27404

## Parliamentary Motions Guide

Based on Robert's Rules of Order Newly Revised ( $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition)
Incidental Motions - no order of precedence. Arise incidentally and decided immediately.

| YOU WANT TO: | YOU SAY: | INTERRUPT | $2^{\mathrm{ND}}$ ? | DEBATE? | AMEND? | VOTE? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| §23 Enforce rules | Point of order | Yes | No | No | No | None |
| §24 Submit matter to assembly | I appeal from the decision of the chair | Yes | Yes | Varies | No | Majority |
| §25 Suspend rules | I move to suspend the rules which ... | No | Yes | No | No | 2/3 |
| §26 Avoid main motion altogether | I object to the consideration of the question | Yes | No | No | No | 2/3 |
| §27 Divide motion | I move to divide the question | No | Yes | No | Yes | Majority |
| §29 Demand rising vote | I call for a division | Yes | No | No | No | None |
| §33 $\begin{aligned} & \text { Parliamentary law } \\ & \text { question }\end{aligned}$ | Parliamentary inquiry | Yes | No | No | No | None |
| §33 $\begin{aligned} & \text { Request for } \\ & \text { information }\end{aligned}$ | Point of information | Yes | No | No | No | None |

Motions That Bring a Question Again Before the Assembly - no order of precedence. Introduce only when nothing else pending.

| §34 | Take matter from table | I move to take from the table ... | No | Yes | No | No | Majority |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| §35 | Cancel previous action | I move to rescind ... | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | $\begin{gathered} 2 / 3 \\ \text { maj. w/ notice } \end{gathered}$ |
| §37 | Reconsider motion | I move to reconsider the vote ... | No | Yes | Varies | No | Majority |

Jim Slaughter, Certified Professional Parliamentarian-Teacher \& Professional Registered Parliamentarian 42004 336/378-1899(W) 336/378-1850(F) P.O. Box 41027, Greensboro 27404 web site: www.jimslaughter.com Side 2

